ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/13/12 Date Received: 12/06/12

Project: X-Ray Self Monitor M09884, F&BI 212091

Date Extracted: NA
Date Analyzed: 12/07/12

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH USING EPA METHOD 9040C

Sample ID Laboratory ID pH

M09884

6.24

212091-01

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M09884 Date Received: 12/06/12 Date Extracted: 12/10/12 Date Analyzed: 12/10/12 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:

Alaskan Copper Works X-Ray Self Monitor M09884, F&BI 212091

212091-01 x10 212091-01 x10.066

ICPMS1 Operator: AP

Internal Standard: % Recovery: 84 87

Lower Limit: 60 60

Upper Limit: 125 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Analyte:

Chromium Silver

Germanium

Indium

22.6 34.5

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received:

Date Extracted:

Date Analyzed:

Matrix:

Units:

Method Blank Not Applicable 12/10/12 12/10/12

Water ug/L (ppb)

Alaskan Copper Works Client: Project:

X-Ray Self Monitor M09884, F&BI 212091 I2-826 mb I2-826 mb.048 Data File:

ICPMS1 Instrument: Operator: AP

Internal Standard: % Recovery: Germanium 91 Indium 93

Lower Limit: 60 60

Lab ID:

Upper Limit: 125 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Analyte:

<1

Chromium Silver

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/13/12 Date Received: 12/06/12

Project: X-Ray Self Monitor M09884, F&BI 212091

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH BY METHOD 9040C

Laboratory Code: 212091-01 (Duplicate)

_	Sample	Duplicate	Relative Percent	Acceptance			
_Analyte	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria			
pН	6.24	6.28	1	0-20			

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/13/12 Date Received: 12/06/12

Project: X-Ray Self Monitor M09884, F&BI 212091

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 212073-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	96	96	71-130	0
Silver	ug/L (ppb)	5	<1	90	89	73-114	1

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Analyte	Percent									
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance						
	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria						
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	104	80-119						
Silver	ug/L (ppb)	5	93	85-116						

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- ${\bf nm}$ The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr-The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

12091	- 		¥	BAMPLER	signature)					*****			1,		Page		
Soud Report To Genera T Hompson Company Austran Coppen Works Address 628 S. Harwill 50				PROJECT NAMEMO. X-RAY Selfmonture					m 09884				Page * TUENABOUND TEM D Standard (2 Weeks) DESIGN () Or / Bush charges authorized by				
City, State, ZIP Sear Phone # 206-571-60	TRE CIA	98/	34	REMARKS	171			•					1 1	Dis	pose :	after 20 Maios	SPOSAL days
				•							1	Jan.	Lips.	180			
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPE-Disset	TPE-Gaseino	BTEX by SUBTR	VOCe by spine	SMOKS IN SM		S TE PIT					Notes
m09884	01	Repr	R:30	H20	l		1	7	1	1	1>				H		
3 3		,			۷		1	1	1		1						
							1	1	1		1	1					
0						1	1	1	1								
			77 X7				+		1	San	nbles	rece	ved	at _	75	ΰC	-
**************************************						T	1	1	1								
viedman & Bruya, Inc. 1812 16th Avenue West	Rollerpoint			Genew Thopse						COMPANY					LATE	TIM ZiASI	
leattle, WA 98119-2029				Control of the contro						FeBI 12/6/12				2			

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 13, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works PO Box 3546 Seattle, WA 98146

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on December 6, 2012 from the X-Ray Self Monitor M09884, F&BI 212091 project. There are 6 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU1213R.DOC